Europäisches Patentamt
European Patent Office
Office européen des brevets



(11) EP 0 917 314 A2

(12)

### **EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION**

(43) Date of publication: 19.05.1999 Bulletin 1999/20 (51) Int Cl.6: H04L 5/02

(21) Application number: 98309092.9

(22) Date of filing: 06.11.1998

(84) Designated Contracting States:

AT BE CH CY DE DK ES FI FR GB GR IE IT LI LU

MC NL PT SE

Designated Extension States:

AL LT LV MK RO SI

(30) Priority: 14.11.1997 US 969886


(71) Applicant: NORTHERN TELECOM LIMITED Montreal, Quebec H2Y 3Y4 (CA) (72) Inventor: Dyke, Peter John
Saffron Walden, Essex CB11 13SP (GB)

(74) Representative: Lawrence, Malcolm Graham et al Hepworth, Lawrence, Bryer & Bizley Merlin House Falconry Court Baker's Lane Epping Essex CM16 5DQ (GB)

### (54) Method and apparatus for reducing cross talk using line coding

(57) To accommodate the overlay of broadband information over narrowband signals while reducing cross-talk in upstream and downstream directions, different baseband line codes are used to modulate respective upstream and downstream transmissions on a common wireline communication resource, such as a

twisted copper-pair. In this way, filtering components located at each end of a connection can reject cross-talk based upon dissimilar signal characteristics that arise between wanted broadband signals having a first baseband line code and spectrally-shifted cross-talk emanating from interfering broadband signals having a different baseband line code.



Printed by Jouve, 75001 PARIS (FR)

FP 0 917 314 A2

### Description

[0001] This invention relates, in general, to the use of line codes and the performance of telecommunication networks that transmit broadband signals over twisted copper-pairs, and is particularly, but not exclusively, applicable to the use of digital subscriber line (xDSL) communication protocols in bi-directional systems in which signal interference corrupts the integrity of transmitted data

[0002] Telecommunication systems that interconnect wireline subscriber terminals are capable of supporting broadband data communication. More particularly, recent developments in broadband communication protocols allow broadband data to be overlaid on narrowband voice or integrated service digital network (ISDN) traffic. Specifically, the interconnection of broadband moderns located at the subscriber terminal and at an exchange allow current broadband access systems to communicate on spare spectrum (i.e. spare frequency channels) of a twisted copper-pair communication resource; the spare frequency channels being isolated from conventionally encoded voice signals by a suitable filter. In this respect, and depending upon the complexity of the xD-SL coding scheme, overlaid broadband systems can support data rates in excess of two Megabits per second (Mbps), although this rate is dependent upon the length of the twisted copper-pairs. For example, Asynchronous Digital Subscriber Line (ADSL) and High-speed Digital Subscriber Line (HDSL) protocols can support data rates of 2Mbps over distances of approximately three kilometres, while more complex schemes (such as VD-SL) can support data rates of 8Mbps over distances of, typically, less than two kilometres. In the latter instance, protocols such as Very-high speed Digital Subscriber Line (VDSL) utilise multiple sub-channel carriers, e.g. in a discrete multi-tone (DMT) environment, to provide an adaptive system that mitigates the effects of cross-talk by selectively ignoring noise-effected sub-channel carriers. However, multicarrier schemes are inherently more wasteful of spectrum because of the requirement for a discrete sub-channel spacing, although protocols such as HDSL still utilise wide blocks of baseband bandwidth. Furthermore, the more complex and elaborate xDSL schemes, such as VDSL, require greater digital signal processing (DSP) power which therefore has a detrimental cost implication associated with providing suitable semiconductor chips for the requisite broadband xDSL modems. Indeed, the monetary cost is sufficiently high so as to effect the commercial viability and deployment of overlaid broadband systems, while the increased processing power also causes thermal management and power dissipation problems. Moreover, in equipment having battery-powered energy supplies, the increase in processing power can have a detrimental effect on equipment operating time.

[0003] The skilled addressee will appreciate that baseband line coding schemes, such as 2B1Q (which

will be described later), provide lower data rate broadband access systems that currently prevail in existing telecommunication networks, while modulation schemes such as Carrierless Amplitude-Phase (CAP) modulation, Quadrature Amplitude (QAM) modulation and DMT support the more elaborate xDSL schemes. However, while baseband xDSL protocols are sufficiently robust to support symmetrical data rate transmissions and also provide some limited immunity against noise and general radio frequency interference (RFI), baseband line coding technologies are unfortunately susceptible to cross-talk. Indeed, for reasons of simplified system design and ease of system testing, a common line code is used for traffic in both directions of each twisted copper-pair.

[0004] As previously stated, current HDSL systems typically utilise baseband line (transmission) codes, such as 2B1Q, over one to three twisted copper-pairs. For a single pair system, each twisted copper-pair therefore provides duplex operation at 2Mbps, for example. In relation to 2B1Q coding, two binary symbols are converted into one quaternary (4-level) line code to reduce the bit rate by half and therefore to save considerable bandwidth. However, with bi-directional communication, the relative location of the opposing lines in each twisted copper-pair causes cross-talk interference to be induced into proximately located wireline communication resources, principally by the mechanisms of capacitive and inductive coupling and by radiation (arising from the imperfect nature and performance of the cabling).

[0005] There are two forms of cross-talk that need to be considered; namely Near-End Cross-Talk (NEXT) and Far-End Cross-Talk (FEXT). NEXT occurs when electromagnetic interference (i.e. noise) is induced into a wireline resource that is communicating information in an opposing direction, e.g. downstream (or down-link) information appears as noise in an upstream (or up-link) path and therefore appears at an input to a receiving device. On the other hand, FEXT occurs when electromagnetic interference (i.e. noise) is induced into a wireline resource that is communicating information in a similar direction, e.g. downstream (or down-link) information appears as noise in another downstream wireline resource. Consequently, FEXT appears at a non-addressed input of a receiving device. The latter form of cross-talk (i.e. FEXT) is of less concern because its effects are reduced by attenuation present in the transmission path. In summary, NEXT interferes with received signals that are reflected back into a device and takes the form of a transmitted spectrum that rises at a rate of six decibels (dB) per octave.

[0006] For an alternate mark invert (AMI) line code in which consecutive logical (binary) 1s are alternated between ±1 in a ternary level modulation scheme, or 2B1Q as used in HDSL, cross-talk is further exacerbated by the frequency attenuation characteristics of the cable. Indeed, the level of attenuation in twisted copper-pairs is such as to require equalisation of the received signal

prior to detection at a receiver. In fact, NEXT increases significantly with the higher frequency components of the received signal, which shaping of the higher frequency components limits the performance of baseband line coded systems (principally because of the similar signal profiles of the received signal and the NEXT interference).

[0007] In an attempt to address the problems associated with cross-talk in baseband line coded systems, some manufacturers have resorted to echo-cancellation techniques. Unfortunately, while echo cancellation compensates a particular twisted copper-pair for its return duplex path, echo cancellation does not mitigate or even address the effects caused by cross-talk induced by other distinct systems, i.e. other twisted copper-pairs. Furthermore, echo cancellation techniques are generally expensive.

[0008] As will also be widely appreciated, broadband access schemes require a channel response that satisfies Nyquist's criteria, namely that to recover data accurately one must have a channel response profile in which the transmission bit-rate frequency is limited to no more than half its initial value (i.e. a 6dB drop-off point) for the power spectral density at the transmitted half bit rate frequency. An additional requirement is that, after this 6dB point, the channel response should roll-off as quickly as possible in order to minimise the level of cross-talk noise (and therefore to improve the signal-to-noise ratio).

[0009] GB-A-2 311 196 describes a communication system in which different frequency bands are assigned to different systems, such as VDSL, HDSL and POTS. The systems therefore avoids system compatibility problems arising from interference between the different systems, and specifically interference arising from FEXT and NEXT in a wireline environment.

[0010] WO 98/10528 relies on a mechanism of cancellation to avoid cross-talk problems, and generally provides background only to the present invention. More specifically, the system of WO 98/10528 adaptively estimates the cross-talk interference induced by certain other lines having interfering transmissions with respect to a line dedicated to signals of interest.

[0011] US patent 5,421,689 is concerned with a FEXT limited environment only, and specifically provides a unidirectional point-to-point transmission system in which a selector controls the application of signals onto one of a number of alternative lines. US patent 4,220,816 describes a combined line coding and timing mechanism that allows received signals to be separated in time from locally transmitted signals. In other words, to ensure that the spectrum at the decision point (in a bi-directional system) is entirely attributable to the symbol in the received signal, the receiving unit avoids simultaneous transmissions so as to avoid interference. Consequently, the system operates with a less that 50% duty cycle. [0012] US patent 4,113,987 apparently improves demultiplexer performance using a modulo-2 addition

function to avoid channel signals associated with one channel appearing at the receiving unit on another channel. Basically, the invention only reduces cross-talk in a multiplexed pulse-coded modulation (PCM) scheme.

[0013] A need therefore exists for an improved broadband access scheme that reduces cross-talk, generally, and which therefore improves system performance. Preferably, the improved system should maintain broadband line coding techniques so as to benefit from lower cost silicon and to obviate both thermal and power management problems associated with high-speed DSPs. [0014] According to a first aspect of the present invention there is provided a communication system comprising a first communication unit coupled to a second communication unit through a communication resource supporting communication in an upstream direction and a downstream direction between the first communication unit and the second communication unit, characterised in that: the first communication unit and the second communication unit have means for modulating information onto the communication resource using a first coding scheme for the upstream direction and a different coding scheme for the downstream direction such that crosstalk interference between the upstream direction and the downstream direction is reduced.

[0015] In a preferred embodiment, the first communication unit may an intermediate unit (typically an infrastructure exchange) that has a plurality of wireline resources connected thereto that support a plurality of calls. The intermediate unit further contains a controller arranged to select a coding scheme from the at least two coding schemes such as to minimise cross-talk interference between the plurality of calls. Preferably, the coding scheme is selected based upon routing information pertaining to the second communication unit to which the intermediate unit is to send the modulated information.

[0016] In another aspect of the present invention there is provided a communication device for coupling to a wireline resource, the communication device arranged to transmit and receive modulated data through the wireline resource in an uplink direction and a downlink direction and wherein received modulated data is corrupted by cross-talk interference between the uplink direction and the downlink direction, the communication device containing a controller and characterised by: a memory (28, 30), coupled to the controller (24, 26), having stored therein a first coding scheme (32) and at least one other different coding scheme (34), the first coding scheme and the at one other different coding scheme being associated with one of the uplink direction and the downlink direction and each coding scheme having different signal modulation profiles associated therewith; wherein the controller is arranged to select and use different ones of the first coding scheme and the at least one other coding scheme for the respective transmission and reception of modulated data in the uplink direction and the downlink direction such that cross-talk 5

interference can be distinguished and separated from received modulated data.

[0017] In a further aspect of the present invention there is provided a method of sending data between communication units interconnected by a wireline resource that supports upstream transmissions and downstream transmissions, the method characterised by the steps of: selecting a first coding scheme having a first signal modulation profile for the upstream transmissions; selecting a second coding scheme having a different signal modulation profile for the downstream transmissions; and selectively applying an appropriate one of the first coding scheme and the second coding scheme to the data to modulate the data with respect to the upstream transmissions and to recover the data with respect to the downstream transmissions, whereby cross-talk interference between the upstream transmission and the downstream transmissions can be distinquished by said differing signal modulation profiles applied to said uplink transmission and said downlink transmissions.

[0018] In another aspect of the present invention there is provided a method of reducing cross-talk interference in upstream and downstream transmission of data signals between communication units interconnected by a wireline communication resource that supports an overlaying of broadband signals on narrowband signals, the method characterised by the steps of: applying different baseband line codes to modulate respective upstream and downstream transmissions on the wireline communication resource, the different baseband line codes exhibiting dissimilar signal characteristics; distinguishing the data signals from spectrallyshifted cross-talk interference by virtue of the dissimilar signal characteristics; and filtering the data signals received at the communication units to reject the crosstalk interference.

[0019] The present invention therefore advantageously reduces cross-talk in a line coded system, thus improving date rates that can be supported by such line coded systems while maintaining distances over which such coding schemes can effectively be used. The present invention is particularly applicable to broadband line coding architectures.

[0020] An exemplary embodiment of the present invention will now be described with reference to the accompanying drawings, in which:

FIG. 1 shows waveform representations of an AMI coded signal, and signal profiles associated with an appropriate channel response and cross-talk interference.

FIG. 2 shows a block diagram of a communication system according to a preferred embodiment of the present invention;

FIG. 3 shows how the communication system of FIG. 2 effects the signal profiles of downstream and upstream communications; and

FIG. 4 is a flow diagram of a preferred method of the present invention.

[0021] Referring to FIG. 1, waveform representations of an AMI coded signal, a corresponding channel response and cross-talk interference are shown. As can be seen, a plot 10 of power spectral density against frequency yields an "n-shaped" profile that is centred (in this example) about a central frequency of 1.0MHz. The power spectral density is approximately uniform about the central frequency and rises and falls fairly rapidly at the peripheries of the envelope. As expected, the required channel response curve 12 for the AMI system of FIG. 1 tails off with increasing frequency but nonetheless satisfies Nyquist's criteria. Finally, a plot of NEXT against frequency shows the 6dB per octave rise and demonstrates the similarities in the profiles between a NEXT interference curve and the power spectral density of the transmitted signal.

[0022] The present invention has identified that the limitation in baseband line code performance emanates from the use of a common line code in both the upstream and downstream. Consequently, the present invention allows different transmission directions to use different baseband line codes and hence different signal transmission characteristics. The present invention therefore reduces cross-talk by effectively moving distinct upstream and downstream channels into different frequency domains. As such, NEXT interference curves differ substantially in profile from the power spectral density of transmitted signals to an extent whereby the NEXT interference can be reduced by filtering or ignored by virtue that the frequency components of the NEXT interference are uncorrelated or orthogonal to the frequency components of interest in the transmitted signal. [0023] Turning to FIG. 2, a block diagram of a communication system according to a preferred embodiment of the present invention is shown. For the sake of ease and brevity of description, FIG. 2 indicates a vastly simplified exchange 20 (or mobile switching centre, in the context of a cellular telephony environment) and an interconnected subscriber terminal 22. Clearly, the exchange 20 and the subscriber terminal 22 contain many functional blocks (as will be understood), but for the sake of the present invention we only need consider the provision of a broadband modem (at each end of the connection) and, more especially, interconnected digital signal processors 24-26, associated memory 28-30 and filtering components shaped to differentiate between wanted signals and noise, generally. The broadband modems implement baseband line coding, such as provided by the HDSL protocol. The digital signal processors may be realised by discrete circuit components or may be incorporated within a control processor (or controller) that generally manages the operation of the communication unit. In the following description, therefore, reference to the term DSP should be construed broadly

to represent and include the function of a more general

controller.

[0024] Considering first the exchange 20, raw (unprocessed) signals 31 are received by the exchange 20 and ultimately supplied to the digital signal processor (DSP) 24 of the broadband modern for encoding with a baseband line code. The digital signal processor (DSP) 24 is coupled to a memory device 28 (which may be realised by an internal cache associated with the DSP) that stores at least two different baseband line codes 32-34 The DSP 24 also contains the necessary circuitry and functionality for any pre-filtering and post-filtering and any pre- encoding and post-encoding of the transmission signals. The selection of an appropriate baseband line code is entirely dependent upon the direction in which the modulated information is to be sent, which selection is generally controlled by the DSP (or controller) 24. For example, baseband line coding with a first line code 32 occurs when the exchange 20 sends modulated data 36 (output from the DSP 24) to the subscriber terminal 22 over a downstream 38 comprised from one communication resource of a twisted copper-pair. Conversely, in relation to an incoming upstream transmission 40 on a second communication resource 42 of a twisted copper-pair, the DSP 24 accesses and utilises the second line code 34 (stored in memory 34) to demodulate the incoming signal (i.e. the upstream transmission 40). Following demodulation (signal processing), a broadband information signal 44 is output from the exchange (or modern) 40. Clearly, the broadband information signal 44 may be output in serial or parallel fashion, and may contain information pertaining to video or other data services, including Internet information.

[0025] At the subscriber terminal 22, an appropriate broadband modern comprises a DSP 26 that is coupled to the downstream 38 for receipt of data. The DSP 26 is therefore arranged to decode the first baseband line code. In a similar fashion to the exchange 20, the DSP 26 of the subscriber terminal 22 is also coupled to a memory that contains at least two baseband line codes 32-34 that correspond to those baseband line codes stored in the memory associated with the exchange. In the case of the subscriber terminal 22, the selection of an appropriate baseband line code for use in the DSP 26 is entirely dependent upon whether modulated information 36 or raw (unmodulated) data 46 is received by the subscriber terminal. For example, baseband line decoding with the first line code 32 occurs when the exchange 20 receives modulated data 36 (output from the DSP 24), while baseband line coding with the second line code 34 takes place when an upstream communication to the exchange is required. As such, the DSP 26 is also coupled to the upstream 40 and is responsive to a data input 46. Clearly, a broadband information signal 48 may be output (after demodulation in the modem of the subscriber terminal) in serial or parallel, and may contain information pertaining to video or other data services, including internet information.

[0026] The DSPs in the modems of the exchange 20

and subscriber terminal 22 also contain firmware that controls the coding and decoding processes, and are typically coupled to a control processor (not shown) that maintains overall operational control of the exchange 20 or subscriber terminal 22.

[0027] While it will be appreciated that any number of a selection of known line codes (each having different merits) can be implemented for use in each of the different upstream and downstream transmissions, the particular selection is based upon the desired effect that needs to be achieved. For example, downstream signals may be encoded with a partial response pre-encoder that shifts the main spectral content of the information signal down to lower frequencies and therefore lowers the required frequency response.

[0028] Upstream signals in the preferred embodiment of the present invention are transmitted using AMI line codes. The upstream signals are received by the exchange (or other analogous distribution point). The channel response of the AMI upstream is significantly different to that for the downstream partial response preencoded line code, and consequently the lower frequency NEXT generated by the partial response pre-encoded line code is reduced.

[0029] A further technique may also be applied to the upstream AMI signal to reduce its sensitivity to NEXT and hence to improve performance. This technique is referred to as Low Frequency Restoration (LFR) and involves removing the low frequency analog signals (received at the upstream DSP) through the use of a highpass filter located prior to a receiver detector. This mechanism has the effect of removing both the lower frequency signals and any cross-talk that is present. The use of LFR allows these lower frequency signals to be restored digitally at the receiver detector through a filter connected from post-decision circuitry (e.g. digital flipflops) to the receiver detection circuitry. More particularly, in relation to a binary line code, a received signal containing cross-talk is filtered in a high pass filter before being applied to amplifier and equaliser functions. Following equalisation, the now equalised signal is clocked into a D-type flip-flop, for example, with an output from the D-type flip-flop tapped and fed back (via an LFR filter) for re-combination with the equalised signal. As will be understood, an output from the LFR filter is ostensibly free from noise, while the receiver decision point in located at the output of the amplifier and equaliser functions. The LFR therefore replaces a lower part of the received signal's spectrum that was removed (along with the cross-talk) by a relatively clean digital signal (without cross-talk). Hence, the LFR process can be employed within the present invention, and is particularly beneficial when used, for example, with AMI or 2B1Q signals in the presence of lower frequency cross-talk, e. g. from pre-encoded partial response signals.

[0030] In relation to the NEXT at the subscriber terminal (i.e. in the downstream), this is caused by the upstream AMI signal that consequently generates noise

(particularly cross-talk) at high frequencies due to the transmitted spectrum of AMI and the 6dB per octave uplift. Much of the NEXT is therefore filtered out by an appropriately shaped downstream channel response filter. More particularly, a wanted downstream signal is isolated and recovered at the subscriber terminal using a partial response (class 1) roll-off channel response filter. This type of transmission line code is used for the downstream direction, for example, as a consequence of its capability to reduce the spectral bandwidth of the downstream signal and hence the channel response required to detect the downstream signal. This scheme also has the benefit of improving the signal to noise (S:N) ratio of the AMI upstream signal by reducing the cross-talk into the upstream direction.

**[0031]** The lowering of the downstream spectral bandwidth can be achieved through the use of partial response and a pre-coding filter, as described in UK patent number 2185663, for example.

[0032] FIG. 3 shows how the communication system of FIG. 2 effects the signal profiles of downstream 38 and upstream 42 communications.

[0033] In the downstream 38, a transmitted spectrum 60 for a pre-encoded partial response code concentrates information at lower frequencies, with a power spectral density (on the ordinate-axis) indicating that, for an exemplary 2Mbps signal, power spectral density reaches a minimum at approximately a midpoint in the frequency band. Following this minimum, the signal profile again rises in a second lobe, but this time in a parabolic form, before tailing off as an upper operating frequency is approached. The second lobe has a power spectral density that is relatively low with respect to a first lobe of the signal profile. A channel response curve 62 can be of similar form, and hence can contain a double-lobed shape in which a lower frequency portion exhibits a greater proportion of the information-bearing signal. NEXT 64 generated by the partial response code used in the downstream (and having a signal profile resembling that of the double-lobed transmitted spectrum) does not however interfere in the downstream but instead affects the transmission in the upstream 42.

[0034] Considering now the upstream 42, AMI signal coding produces a transmitted spectrum 10 having an "n-shaped" profile, as previously shown and described in relation to FIG. 1. The power spectral density of the transmitted signal concentrates information in the midband of the channel. A channel response 66 for this form of AMI coding has a half bell-shaped profile as shown, which profile reduces at higher frequencies according to Nyquist's criteria. Furthermore, it is also possible to remove, as previously described, the lower frequencies using LFR, with the channel response characteristic altered by a drop-off to DC at low frequencies; the effect of introducing LFR is shown by the dotted line 67 that modifies the previous half bell-shaped profile.

[0035] As a consequence of the present invention, a signal profile of the NEXT of the AMI coded signal (again

having an "n-shaped" profile) interferes with the partial response coded downstream 38, while a signal profile of the NEXT of the partial response coded signal (again having the double-lobed profile) interferes with the AMI coded upstream 42. Therefore, the NEXT interference has little effect on the transmitted signal integrity of the respectively upstream and downstream signals because the profiles associated with the NEXT are significantly dissimilar in profile and therefore have a different energy distribution. In other words, the downstream receiver responds primarily to lower frequency signals and receives higher frequency cross talk (which can be filtered out), while the upstream receiver responds to higher frequency information bearing signals and rejects much of the lower frequency cross-talk.

[0036] FIG. 4 is a flow diagram of a preferred method of the present invention, which method could be stored in memory and implemented by a control processor (such as DSPs 24-26) of a communication device. The process begins at start block 100. At 102, the processor executes a continuous loop to determine whether it is receiving data (in a duplex, half-duplex or simplex mode). In the negative, the processor remains in the loop, while in the affirmative the process proceeds to step 104 to determine whether the incident data is "raw data" (or not). In this context, raw data should be considered to be information that is not line-coded and not therefore present on the communication resource (e.g. the twisted pair). For example, the raw data could be information directly input from a man-machine interface and, as such, could be an analog voice pattern or unmodulated ASC II code from a computer keyboard.

[0037] In the event of an affirmative response at step 106, the processor realises that it must select and then code the incident (received) data with an appropriate broadband line code. At 108, the processor determines whether the direction of transmission, e.g. whether the information is to be transmitted upstream or downstream. For a downstream transmission 110, the processor selects and applies the first broadband line code 112, and then transmits xDSL 114. In the event that the transmission is upstream, then the processor selects and applies the second broadband line code 116 before transmission of xDSL at 114.

[0038] In default at 104 (implying that the unit is receiving line coded data 117) or subject to receiving xDSL transmission from block 114, a determination is made at 118 as to whether the receiving unit is a subscriber terminal. As will now be appreciated, in the affirmative the subscriber terminal selects and decodes 120 the received line coded data (or information) using the first broadband line code, whereas an exchange must select and apply (decode) the second broadband line code to decode 122 the received line coded information (transmitted on the upstream). Following blocks 120 and 122, the process ends at block 124.

[0039] In summary, the net effect of the present invention is to shape one (or both) of the transmitted, base-

band coded signals (and hence to change their respective channel responses) and therefore remove crosstalk (and particularly NEXT) between upstream and downstream signals. In the preferred embodiment, the downstream receiver responds primarily to lower frequencies and so is able to filter out cross-talk associated with higher frequencies. Conversely, the upstream receiver responds to higher frequencies and is able to reject much of the lower frequency cross-talk, e.g. through low frequency restoration techniques. The overall effect on the system of utilising difference baseband line codes for the different directions of communication flow is to improve system performance.

[0040] In another embodiment, an intermediate piece of infrastructure may be arranged to modify (i.e. change) the broadband line code, with such as change based on direction of forward transfer of the information. In this case, it may be desirable to utilise address codes having particular assignments or identities to inform the intermediate piece of infrastructure of the appropriate broadband line code, i.e. there could be a change in broadband line codes depending upon whether the intermediate piece of infrastructure was receiving information from an interconnected switch or subscriber terminal for routing to either a different subscriber terminal or another interconnected switch. Indeed, in this respect, the present invention considers that selection of a coding scheme for the upstream and downstream direction could be dynamic. More specifically, a controller in an exchange (knowing both the coding capabilities of, for example, an interconnected subscriber terminal and the present coding schemes used for downstream and upstream communications respectively from and to the exchange) could dynamically select the coding scheme to minimise cross-talk between all adjacent wireline resources (i.e. twisted copper pairs) that are coupled thereto.

[0041] As will be understood, variation in a selected coding scheme for a particular path (as opposed to use of a predetermined default scheme) may require control information to be sent between the communicating devices prior to a coding switch occurring. Indeed, the change between coding schemes could occur in-call or prior to data or voice traffic transfer/recovery, while such control information may be realised simply by a bit inversion in a packet or data header. Mechanisms, however, that could support a change will generally be readily appreciated by the skilled addressee and could be realised by an intelligent receiver arranged to apply (in its DSP) all possible alternative coding schemes to a header in order to recover a predetermined meaningful data sequence (and hence to identify the appropriate coding scheme).

[0042] While the preferred embodiment of the present invention has been described with reference to the use of AMI and partial response codes, it will be readily appreciated that a variety of other coding techniques can be applied to reduce NEXT. For example (and without

limitation), in order to lower the frequency or bit rate of transmission, the present invention could utilise: i) binary codes with predominantly low frequencies, e.g. Miller codes; ii) pre-coding to limit higher frequencies, e.g. partial response coding; iii) temary, quaternary or higher level codes; or iv) pre-filtering of any of the above (through an analog or digital filter). Then, restoration of the higher frequency signals at the receiver could be implemented, for example, by using decision feedback restoration (DFE) during the detection process, or by post-filtering, recording and post-coding the signal after detection. It will be apparent that other line coding schemes could be used. Additionally, the present invention contemplates that baseband coding schemes could be utilised in one direction, while carrier-based schemes (such as CAP, QAM, DMT and others) could be used in the reverse direction.

[0043] Similarly, to raise the frequency or bit rate, one can use: i) broadband line codes that have a high frequency content, e.g. AMI, HDB3, Dipulse and WAL2; ii) pre-code to limit low frequency spectrum, e.g. using digital filtering; iii) pre-shape using analog filters, for example; and iv) insert low frequency cuts in the channel response. Then, one can restore the lower frequency signals at the receiver either by LFR during the detection process, or post-filtering and recording after the detection process.

[0044] It will, of course, be appreciated that the above description has been given by way of example only and that modification in detail may be made within the scope of the present invention. For example, the transfer of modulated information has been explicitly described in relation to an exchange and a subscriber terminal, however the mechanism of the present invention is equally applicable to intervening infrastructure, such as distribution points implemented as kerb-side units or street cabinets. Consequently, the term "subscriber terminal" should be construed broadly to include a terminal that is arranged to receive data which by definition could be realised by an intermediate switch or node rather than an end-point. Additionally, each pair of the twisted copper-pairs incident to the exchange may be subject to different baseband line codes. Furthermore, while the present invention has been described in the context of an overlaid broadband system, it will clearly be appreciated that the concept of applying differing coding schemes to upstream and downstream communications over a wireline communication resource will reduce the effects of NEXT in any form of communication system, including dedicated narrowband or broadband systems.

[0045] Furthermore, modification to the present invention may involve the reversal of the frequency components that support data transfer, i.e. coding schemes that support relatively high frequency data transfer could be used in the downstream instead of in the upstream. Additionally, it will be understood that the present invention is generally applicable to wireline schemes that suf-

fer from cross-talk. As such, the present invention may be employed in coaxial lines, for example. Finally, it will be understood that the concepts of the present invention can be employed in transmission schemes that utilise many pairs of (rather than just a single pair of) transmission lines, e.g. a 2Mbps data rate could be supported by HDSL on three separate sets of twisted pairs each supporting approximately 700kbps.

Claims

A communication system comprising a first communication unit (20) coupled to a second communication unit (22) through a communication resource (38, 42) supporting communication in an upstream direction (40) and a downstream direction (36) between the first communication unit (20) and the second communication unit (22), characterised in that:

the first communication unit (20) and the second communication unit (22) have means for modulating (24) information onto the communication resource (38, 42) using a first coding scheme (32) for the upstream direction and a different coding scheme (34) for the downstream direction such that cross-talk interference between the upstream direction and the downstream direction is reduced.

- The communication system of claim 1, wherein communication between the first communication unit (20) and the second communication unit (22) contains broadband signalling and the first coding scheme and the different coding scheme are broadband line codes.
- The communication system of claim 2, wherein the broadband signalling is overlaid over a narrowband signal, which narrowband signal is typically a voice signal.
- The communication system of claim 1, 2 or 3, wherein the communication resource (28, 42) is a wireline resource and typically a twisted copper-pair or a coax.
- The communication system of any preceding claim, wherein the first communication unit (20) is an exchange and the second communication unit (22) is a subscriber terminal.
- The communication system of any preceding claim, wherein the first communication unit (20) and the second communication unit (22) each comprises a memory (28, 30) containing at least two coding schemes for use in modulating the upstream direction (40) and the downstream direction (36).
- 7. The communication system of any preceding claim,

wherein the first communication unit (20) is an intermediate unit having connected thereto a plurality of wireline resources that support a plurality of calls, the intermediate unit further containing a controller (24) arranged to select a coding scheme from the at least two coding schemes such as to minimise cross-talk interference between the plurality of calls.

- 8. The communication system of claim 7, wherein the controller selects the coding scheme based upon routing information pertaining to the second communication unit to which the intermediate unit is to send the modulated information.
  - The communication system of claim 7 or 8, wherein the intermediate unit is an infrastructure unit.
  - 10. The communication system of any preceding claim, wherein the first coding scheme produces a signal profile in which modulated information is concentrated at relatively low frequencies while the different coding scheme produces a signal profile in which modulated information is concentrated at relatively high frequencies.
  - 11. The communication system of claim 10, wherein the first communication unit and the second communication unit each further comprise a filter coupled to receive the modulated information, the filter arranged to filter out the signal profile principally associated with near end cross-talk interference.
- 12. The communication system of any one of claims 1 to 10, wherein the first coding scheme produces a signal profile in which modulated information is concentrated at relatively low bit rates while the different coding scheme produces a signal profile in which modulated information is concentrated at relatively high bit rates.
  - 13. The communication system of any preceding claim, wherein the first coding scheme is a baseband coding scheme and the different coding scheme is a carrier-based coding scheme that is preferably one of CAP, QAM and DMT.
  - 14. A communication device (20, 22) for coupling to a wireline resource (38, 42), the communication device arranged to transmit and receive modulated data through the wireline resource in an uplink direction (40) and a downlink direction (36) and wherein received modulated data is corrupted by cross-talk interference between the uplink direction and the downlink direction, the communication device (20, 22) containing a controller (24, 26) and characterised by:

45

50

25

35

a memory (28, 30), coupled to the controller (24, 26), having stored therein a first coding scheme (32) and at least one other different coding scheme (34), the first coding scheme and the at one other different coding scheme being associated with one of the uplink direction and the downlink direction and each coding scheme having different signal modulation profiles associated therewith;

wherein the controller (24) is arranged to select and use different ones of the first coding scheme and the at least one other coding scheme for the respective transmission and reception of modulated data in the uplink direction (40) and the downlink direction (36) such that cross-talk interference can be distinguished and separated from received modulated data.

- 15. The communication device of claim 14, wherein the modulated data contains broadband signalling and the first coding scheme and the at least one other different coding scheme are broadband line codes, which broadband signalling may be overlaid over a narrowband channel such as a voice channel
- 16. The communication device of any one of claims 14 or 15, wherein the wireline resource (38, 42) is a twisted copper-pair or a coax.
- 17. The communication device of any one of claims 14 to 16, wherein the processor (24-26) selects a coding scheme based upon routing information associated with a second communication device to which modulated data is to be sent.
- 18. The communication device of any one of claims 14 to 17, wherein the first coding scheme (32) produces a signal modulation profile in which modulated data is concentrated at relatively low frequencies while the at least one other different coding scheme produces a signal modulation profile in which modulated data is concentrated at relatively high frequencies.
- 19. The communication device of any one of claims 14 to 18, further comprising a filter coupled to receive the modulated data and being arranged to filter out a signal modulation profile principally associated with near end cross-talk interference.
- 20. The communication device of any one of claims 14 to 17, wherein the first coding scheme produces a signal modulation profile in which modulated data is concentrated at relatively low bit rates while the at least one other different coding scheme produces a signal modulation profile in which modulated data is concentrated at relatively high bit rates.

21. A method of sending data between communication units (20, 22) interconnected by a wireline resource (38, 42) that supports upstream transmissions (40) and downstream transmissions (36), the method characterised by the steps of:

selecting (116) a first coding scheme having a first signal modulation profile for the upstream transmissions;

selecting (110) a second coding scheme having a different signal modulation profile for the downstream transmissions; and

selectively applying (112-116) an appropriate one of the first coding scheme and the second coding scheme to the data to modulate the data with respect to the upstream transmissions (40) and to recover (120-122) the data with respect to the downstream transmissions (36), whereby cross-talk interference between the upstream transmission and the downstream transmissions can be distinguished by said differing signal modulation profiles applied to said uplink transmission and said downlink transmissions.

22. The method of claim 21, further comprising the step of:

at a receiving one of the communication units (20, 22), filtering received data to eliminate principally the cross-talk interference.

- 23. The method of claim 21 or 22, wherein broadband data is overlaid over narrowband data and the narrowband data may be voice data supported on a twisted copper-pair.
- 24. The method of any one of claims 21, 22 or 23, wherein a first communication unit (20) of said communication units is an intermediate unit having a plurality of wireline resources (38, 42) emanating therefrom that support a plurality of calls and wherein the intermediate unit further includes a memory (28) having more than two different coding scheme, the method further comprising the step of:

dynamically assigning coding schemes to upstream and downstream transmissions of the plurality of calls such as to minimise cross-talk interference between the plurality of calls.

- 25. The method of claim 24, wherein the coding scheme is selected based upon routing information (104, 117, 118) pertaining to a second communication unit to which the intermediate unit is to send the modulated information.
- The method of claim 24 or 25, wherein the intermediate unit is an infrastructure unit.

15

- 27. The method of any one of claims 21 to 26, wherein the first coding scheme produces a signal modulation profile in which modulated data is concentrated at relatively low frequencies while the second coding scheme produces a signal modulation profile in which modulated data is concentrated at relatively high frequencies.
- 28. The method of any one of claims 21 to 26, wherein the first coding scheme produces a signal modula- 10 tion profile in which modulated data is concentrated at relatively low bit rates while the second coding scheme produces a signal modulation profile in which modulated data is concentrated at relatively high bit rates.

29. The method of any one of claims 21 to 27, further comprising the step of:

at an upstream receiving one of the communication units, restoring lower frequency compo- 20 nents to the modulated data using a low frequency restoration technique.

30. A method of reducing cross-talk interference in upstream (40) and downstream transmission (36) of 25 data signals between communication units (20, 22) interconnected by a wireline communication resource (38, 42) that supports an overlaying of broadband signals on narrowband signals, the method characterised by the steps of:


> applying (112, 116) different baseband line codes to modulate respective upstream and downstream transmissions on the wireline communication resource (38, 42), the different 35 baseband line codes exhibiting dissimilar signal characteristics; distinguishing the data signals from spectrally-


shifted cross-talk interference by virtue of the dissimilar signal characteristics; and filtering the data signals received at the communication units to reject the cross-talk interference.


45


50

55









# This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

## **BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES**

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

☐ BLACK BORDERS
☐ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
FADED TEXT OR DRAWING
BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING
☐ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
☐ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS
LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT
REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY
OTHER.

### IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.